



Analyzing the Effects of Supervisory Communication, Work Engagement, and Transactional Leadership in Yemen's Banking Industry

¹Mohammed Aidaros Ali Al-Sharif, ²Rashidah binti Mohamad Ibrahim, ^{3*}Nurul Aisyah Awanis binti A Rahim

Faculty of Business and Management
Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin (UniZA)
Malaysia

Corresponding Author Email: awanisrahim@unisza.edu.my

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explore the direct relationship between transactional leadership and work engagement, as well as the mediating role of supervisory communication in this relationship. Understanding the importance of employee engagement (DV) is crucial for enhancing organizational performance and employee well-being in Yemen's banking sector. Despite the prevalence of transactional leadership in the banking industry, its effectiveness in promoting employee engagement within Yemen's cultural context remains underexplored. Employing a quantitative research design and a standardized questionnaire, data will be collected from bank personnel across various Yemeni branches and analyzed using structural equation modeling (SEM). The expected findings are anticipated to provide insights into the dynamics of leadership, communication, and engagement in a non-Western setting, offering valuable contributions to academic literature. However, the study's limitation includes potential cultural biases and the generalizability of the findings. Future research should consider longitudinal studies and incorporate diverse cultural contexts to validate and expand upon these findings.

Keywords: Yemen, Banking Sector, Job Demands-Resources Model, Transactional Leadership, Work Engagement.

 OPEN ACCESS

Introduction

The banking industry in Yemen offers particular opportunities and challenges for leadership and employee engagement because of its dynamic environment and vital role in maintaining economic stability. It is critical for organizations to comprehend how communication, employee engagement, and leadership styles interact as they work towards peak performance. The primary goal of this research is to determine how supervisory communication, in conjunction with transactional leadership, affects employee engagement in Yemen's banking industry.

The emphasis on rewards, sanctions, and transparent systems that characterize transactional leadership makes it popular in a variety of industries, including banking. This style of leadership has the power to profoundly affect how engaged and how employees view their roles. Effective communication may improve comprehension, lessen ambiguity, and create a supportive work atmosphere, which is why supervisory communication is so important in mediating conflicts.

Work engagement is vital for both employee performance and organizational success. It is characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption and is a positive, fulfilling work-related state of mind. Elevated levels of employee engagement have been associated with enhanced job effectiveness, elevated customer contentment, and decreased attrition rates.

The efficacy of transactional leadership in augmenting work engagement in Yemen's banking industry remains inadequately recognized, especially in light of the moderating function of supervisory communication. Previous research has predominantly concentrated on Western settings, creating a vacuum in the literature concerning the relevance of these conclusions to Yemen's distinct socioeconomic and cultural milieu. The purpose of this study is to close this gap by examining the relationship between work engagement and supervisory communication as it relates to transactional leadership among Yemeni bank workers.

By offering insights into the dynamics of leadership, communication, and participation in a non-Western setting, this study will add to the body of knowledge in academia. The results will provide useful suggestions for practitioners looking to improve organizational performance and employee engagement in Yemen's banking industry. These insights can be used by industry executives and policymakers to create training and development initiatives that promote good communication and leadership techniques.

Yemen Banking Industry

The banking industry in Yemen plays a crucial role in the country's economic stability and development. Despite facing significant challenges due to ongoing political instability and economic hardships, the sector remains a vital component of Yemen's financial system. The industry comprises a mix of commercial banks, Islamic banks, and specialized financial institutions, which provide a range of services including retail banking, corporate banking, and trade finance (Al-Matari et al., 2017).

Yemen's banking sector operates in a complex environment characterized by limited financial inclusion, a fragile economy, and regulatory constraints. The Central Bank of Yemen, the primary regulatory authority, has been striving to stabilize the financial system and implement reforms to enhance banking operations and financial stability (IMF, 2019). However, the prolonged conflict has led to disruptions in banking services, liquidity shortages, and difficulties in maintaining correspondent banking relationships with international banks (World Bank, 2019).

Despite these challenges, the banking sector is crucial for economic reconstruction and development in Yemen. Banks play a key role in mobilizing savings, facilitating investments, and supporting trade activities. Enhancing employee engagement and organizational performance within the sector is essential for improving service delivery and customer satisfaction, which are critical for rebuilding trust and fostering economic recovery.

Understanding the dynamics of leadership and communication within this context can provide valuable insights into how to improve employee morale and performance, thereby contributing to the overall stability and growth of Yemen's banking industry.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership, introduced by Bass (1985), is characterized by a focus on clear objectives, rewards, and corrective measures to manage employee performance. This leadership style is effective in formal settings that prioritize consistency and clarity (Judge & Piccolo, 2004). Leaders employing this style establish well-defined structures and expectations, providing rewards for meeting goals and applying corrective actions when standards are not met. Such a structured approach can be particularly useful in sectors requiring strict adherence to procedures and high reliability, such as the banking industry. Research by Breevaart et al. (2014) highlights that transactional leadership can enhance employee performance by offering clear guidelines and immediate feedback, thus creating a predictable and stable work environment.

The relationship between transactional leadership and work engagement is complex and influenced by cultural contexts. In Yemen's banking industry, the effectiveness of transactional leadership in promoting engagement may depend on how well the leadership style aligns with local cultural norms and values. Deng et al. (2012) suggest that in collectivist cultures, transactional leadership might need to be adapted to resonate with employees' expectations and social norms. Transactional leadership can potentially enhance work engagement by providing employees with clear expectations and rewards, thereby fostering a sense of security and motivation. However, the rigidity of this leadership style may also limit creativity and innovation, which are important for engagement. Therefore, understanding how transactional leadership impacts work engagement in different cultural contexts, such as Yemen, is crucial for developing effective leadership strategies.

Supervisory Communication

Effective supervisory communication is essential for reducing confusion, providing constructive feedback, and fostering a positive work environment (Mayfield & Mayfield, 2012). In the banking industry, where tasks are often complex and customer-oriented, the role of supervisory communication becomes even more significant. High-quality communication can improve relational coordination among employees, leading to better performance outcomes (Gittell, 2002). Clear and consistent communication from supervisors can enhance employees' sense of security and belonging, which is essential for engagement (Downs & Adrian, 2004). Moreover, effective communication strategies are linked to higher levels of employee satisfaction and lower turnover rates, emphasizing the need for ongoing research in this area (Hartman & McCambridge, 2011).

The relationship between supervisory communication and work engagement is critical, especially in environments with high demands like the banking sector. Supervisory communication serves as a vital resource that can buffer the negative effects of job demands on employee well-being. When supervisors communicate effectively, they provide the necessary support and clarity that employees need to navigate their roles, thereby enhancing their engagement. Sias (2005) emphasizes that good communication practices can significantly impact employee engagement by creating a supportive and inclusive work atmosphere. In the context of Yemen's banking industry, where the economic and political environment poses additional challenges, effective supervisory communication can play a crucial role in maintaining high levels of employee engagement and performance.

Work Engagement

Work engagement, popularized by Schaufeli et al. (2002), refers to a positive, fulfilling work-related state characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption. Engaged employees are more productive, exhibit higher job satisfaction, and have lower turnover intentions (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008). The concept of work engagement highlights the importance of psychological presence in one's role, where employees feel energetic and fully immersed in their work. Kahn (1990) initially conceptualized personal engagement at work, describing it as the harnessing of organization members' selves to their work roles. Engaged employees are not only committed to their tasks but also show a greater willingness to go beyond their formal job requirements, contributing to overall organizational success.

The relationship between leadership styles, communication, and work engagement is pivotal in determining organizational outcomes. Transactional leadership and supervisory communication can significantly influence work engagement by providing the necessary resources and support. According to Saks (2006), perceived organizational support and job characteristics are significant predictors of work engagement. When leaders and supervisors communicate effectively and provide clear expectations and feedback, employees are more likely to feel valued and supported, leading to higher engagement levels. Xanthopoulou et al. (2009) demonstrated that job resources such as autonomy, social support, and professional development opportunities are crucial for fostering engagement. Understanding how these factors interact in the context of Yemen's banking industry can offer valuable insights for enhancing employee engagement and performance.

Underlying Theory: Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model, developed by Bakker and Demerouti (2007), provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the dynamics of employee well-being and performance. The JD-R Model posits that every job has its unique demands and resources, which influence employee well-being and performance. Job demands are the physical, psychological, social, or organizational aspects of the job that require sustained effort and are associated with certain physiological and psychological costs. Examples include high work pressure, emotionally demanding interactions, and an unfavorable physical environment. Job resources, on the other hand, are the physical, psychological, social, or organizational aspects of the job that help in achieving work goals, reduce job demands, and stimulate personal growth and development. These resources can include support from colleagues and supervisors, job autonomy, and performance feedback (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007).

In the context of this study, transactional leadership can be seen as a job resource that provides clear goals, rewards, and feedback, helping employees manage their job demands more effectively. Supervisory communication acts as an additional resource, facilitating clarity, support, and feedback, which can enhance employee engagement by making job demands more manageable and providing the necessary support to deal with them (Breevaart et al., 2014). The JD-R Model suggests that job resources not only buffer the impact of job demands on stress but also motivate employees to achieve their work goals. By applying the JD-R Model, this study aims to explore how transactional leadership and supervisory communication interact to influence employee engagement in Yemen's banking industry. This approach allows for a comprehensive examination of the mechanisms through which these factors impact employee engagement, offering valuable insights for developing effective leadership and communication strategies in diverse cultural contexts.

HYPOTHESES

H1: Transactional leadership has a positive impact on work engagement among bank employees in Yemen.

H2: Supervisory communication has a positive impact on work engagement among bank employees in Yemen.

METHODS

Data from bank personnel in Yemen's various branches will be gathered through the use of a structured questionnaire in a quantitative research design. The target population consists of bank workers in Yemen, and stratified random sampling will be employed to ensure representation from various occupational positions and branches. Both online and paper-based questionnaires will be used to gather data, and the relationships between variables will be examined using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). This research is anticipated to furnish empirical data regarding the correlation among supervisory communication, work engagement, and transactional leadership within the context of the Middle East. It aims to provide actionable advice on improving employee engagement through effective communication and leadership techniques, and to contribute to the creation of leadership development initiatives specific to Yemen's banking industry.

LIMITATIONS

This concept paper describes the planned investigation into how transactional leadership affects employee engagement at work in Yemen's banking industry, using supervisory communication as a mediator. However, it's important to recognize a few limitations:

Geographical and Cultural Specificity: Yemen's banking industry is the sole subject of this study. Although this gives a deeper insight of the situation, it can make the conclusions less applicable to different sectors of the economy or regions of the world. Yemeni cultural characteristics may have an impact on the correlations between the variables under investigation, and these effects might not hold true in other cultural contexts (Hofstede, 2011).

Cross-sectional Design: Data are collected for the study at a single moment in time using a cross-sectional research design. The relationship between supervisory communication, work engagement, and transactional leadership cannot be assumed to be causally related because of this design. To determine causal linkages and look at changes over time, longitudinal studies would be required (Ployhart & Vandenberg, 2010).

Self-reported Data: Common method bias and social desirability bias may be introduced when data gathering relies solely on self-reported questionnaires. Instead of reflecting their genuine experiences and thoughts, employees could react in a way they think is appropriate or anticipated (Podsakoff et al., 2003).

RESTRICTED VARIABLE SCOPE

Although the study concentrates on work engagement, supervisory communication, and transactional leadership, it leaves out other factors that may have an impact, such as job happiness, organizational culture, and employee personal traits. These elements might offer a more thorough comprehension of the dynamics in operation (Schaufeli, 2013).

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research could look in several directions to improve on the findings and address the study's limitations. Extending the industrial and geographic scope by reproducing the study in diverse nations and sectors can assess the applicability of the conclusions, providing a more in-depth understanding of how supervisory communication and transactional leadership affect work engagement internationally through comparative research in various cultural and organizational contexts (House et al., 2004). Conducting longitudinal research can establish causal linkages between the variables and examine changes over time, offering a thorough understanding of the long-term effects of communication techniques and leadership styles on employee engagement (Taris & Kompier, 2003). Including other pertinent variables, such as job satisfaction, organizational culture, and individual employee characteristics, can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the elements influencing work engagement by exploring their interactions (Christian et al., 2011). Employing a combination of quantitative and qualitative methodologies can enhance the results, with qualitative data from focus groups and interviews offering deeper insights into workforce opinions and experiences (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017). Additionally, examining the efficacy of specific leadership development and communication training initiatives in augmenting employee engagement can yield practical suggestions for companies aiming to boost worker engagement (Salas et al., 2012). By tackling these limitations and exploring these potential research avenues, scholars can enhance our understanding of the relationships among leadership, communication, and work engagement in various organizational contexts.

REFERENCES

- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Breevaart, K., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Derks, D. (2015). Who takes the lead? A multi-source diary study on leadership, work engagement, and job performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(3), 309-325. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2041>
- McCall, M. W. (1985). Leadership and Performance beyond expectations, by Bernard M. Bass. New York: the Free Press, 1985, 191 pp. *Human Resource Management*, 25(3), 481-484. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.3930250310>
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Salanova, M. (2007). Efficacy or inefficacy, that's the question: Burnout and work engagement, and their relationships with efficacy beliefs. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, 20(2), 177-196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800701217878>
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Work engagement and financial returns: A diary study on the role of job and personal resources. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 82(1), 183-200. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317908x285633>
- Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.
- Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and Transactional Leadership: A Meta-Analytic Test of Their Relative Validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.755>
- Mayfield, J., & Mayfield, M. (2012). The Relationship Between Leader Motivating Language and Self-Efficacy: A Partial Least Squares Model Analysis. *Journal of Business Communication*, 49(4), 357-376. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021943612456036>
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two-sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3(1), 71-92.
- Sias, P. M. (2005). Workplace Relationship Quality and Employee Information Experiences. *Communication Studies*, 56(4), 375-395. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10510970500319450>
- Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work Engagement: A Quantitative Review And Test Of Its Relations With Task And Contextual Performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89-136. Portico. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2010.01203.x>
- Yu, C. H. (2008). Book Review: Creswell, J., & Plano Clark, V. (2007). Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12(4), 801-804. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428108318066>
- Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing Cultures: The Hofstede Model in Context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1014>
- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies. SAGE Publications. *Choice Reviews Online*, 42(07), 42-4132-42-4132. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.42-4132>
- Ployhart, R. E., & Vandenberg, R. J. (2009). Longitudinal Research: The Theory, Design, and Analysis of Change. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 94-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309352110>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The Science of Training and Development in Organizations. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(2), 74-101. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612436661>
- Truss, C., Alfes, K., Delbridge, R., Shantz, A., & Soane, E. (Eds.). (2013). *Employee Engagement in Theory and Practice*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203076965>
- Taris, T. W., & Kompier, M. (2003). Challenges in longitudinal designs in occupational health psychology. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 29(1), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.697>
- Back, K. W. (1965). EXCHANGE AND POWER IN SOCIAL LIFE. By Peter M. Blau. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1964. 352 pp. \$7.75. *Social Forces*, 44(1), 128-128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2574842>
- Breevaart, K., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & van den Heuvel, M. (2015). Leader-member exchange, work engagement, and job performance. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 30(7), 754-770. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmp-03-2013-0088>
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social Exchange Theory: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874-900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602>
- Deng, H., Menguc, B., & Benson, J. (2012). The influence of frontline employees' perceptions of organizational structure and job characteristics on organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(9), 1351-1359.

- Brønn, P. S. (2005). Assessing Organizational Communication: Strategic Communication Audits, by Cal W. Downs and Allyson D. Adrian, reviewed by Peggy Simcic Brønn. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 8(1), 81–85. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.crr.1540241>
- Gittel, J. H. (2002). Relationships between Service Providers and Their Impact on Customers. *Journal of Service Research*, 4(4), 299–311. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670502004004007>
- Hartman, J. L., & McCambridge, J. (2011). Optimizing Millennials' Communication Styles. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 74(1), 22–44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1080569910395564>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological Conditions of Personal Engagement and Disengagement at Work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256287>
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169>
- Wayne, S. J., Shore, L. M., & Liden, R. C. (1997). Perceived Organizational Support And Leader-Member Exchange: A Social Exchange Perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40(1), 82–111. <https://doi.org/10.5465/257021>
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Work engagement and financial returns: A diary study on the role of job and personal resources. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 82(1), 183–200. Portico. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317908x285633>
- Al-Matari, E. M., Al-Swidi, A. K., & Fadzil, F. H. B. (2014). The Measurements of Firm Performance's Dimensions. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 6(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v6i1.4761>
- IMF. (2019). Republic of Yemen: (2019). Dominican Republic. IMF Staff Country Reports, 19(273). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781513511108.002>
- (2019). Economy Profile of Yemen, Republic. World Bank, Washington, DC. <https://doi.org/10.1596/32916>